



STREET OF		



During the Webinar



- Question board available and monitored look for Q&A icon on webcast toolbar
- Unanswered question answers to be posted on our webpage:

ERISApedia.com/webcasts

• Slides and a recording of the webinar also available on our webpage:

ERISApedia.com/webcasts


CE Credit



- ERPA/ASPPA/NIPA
 - Those that attend the requisite time in the live online meeting today will receive certificate by email in several days (ERPA will take longer)
 - Please check spam folder
- Any questions, email: <u>support@erisapedia.com</u>
- Look for webpage after this webcast ends to provide feedback not essential but certainly appreciated!











We Want **MEPs!**

Washington is convinced that MEPs are good

- Encourage plan sponsorship
- employees of small employers will have workplace retirement plan access
- At lower cost





7



IRS Bad Apple

- - 413(c) plan for all employers maintaining the plan"
 - One bad apple spoils the barrel

8

ERISA Stance on MEPs

- Many DOL Advisory Opinions rejected the concept that a given MEP is a single plan under $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ERISA}}$
- plan under ENISA Opinions primarily turn on not the types of benefits provided but on whether the arrangement is <u>established or maintained by an employer or by an employee</u> <u>organization</u> Key issue for MEP: are the employers a bona fide group or association? Bona fide group: facts and circumstances test Likely not an issue for shared employee or kissing cousin situations



The Executive Branch

10



Within 60 days of the date of this order, the Secretary of Labor shall consider proposing regulations or revising guidance, consistent with law, to expand access to health coverage by allowing more employers to form AHPs. To the extent permitted by law and supported by sound policy, the Secretary should consider expanding the conditions that satisfy the commonality-of-interest requirements under current Department of Labor advisory opinions interpreting the definition of an "employer" under section 3(5) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The Secretary of Labor should also consider ways to promote AHP formation on the basis of common geography or industry.


11


AHP Regulations Issued June 2018



· Limited to health plans

- Effective 9/18 for fully insured plans
- Allows employers to form associations and the associations to offer health coverage
 - Working owners can participate as employer and employee
- Do not replace prior guidance on commonality
 Give new method to establish single health plan
- Controversial because of PPACA impact


















Working owner

- Has ownership right in trade or business, whether or not incorporated
 Earns wages/SE income for providing personal services to business
- Either:
 - Works on average at least 20 hours/week or 80 hours/month, or
 - Comp equals or exceeds cost of any AHP

Requirements

• Employer members must control association and employer plan participants must control plan



 Issue of both form and substance

20

19



Requirements

- Plan participation is limited to employees of a current employer member of the association, former employees of a current employer member and beneficiaries of such
 - Required COBRA coverage is an exception to this rule for association health plans

AHP Requirements Can't be health insurer or owned or controlled by health insurer or affiliates

Must follow nondiscrimination rule

Cannot condition membership
 on health factors

22





A human-resource company that contractually assumes certain employer responsibilities of its client employers

Requirements



The organization performs substantial employment functions on behalf of its client employers, and maintains adequate records relating to such functions;

The organization has substantial control over the functions and activities of the MEP, as the plan sponsor, the plan administrator, and a named fiduciary; - Continues to have employee benefit obligations to participants after client employer leaves

- 3. The organization ensures that each client employer that adopts the MEP acts directly as an employer of at least one employee who is a participant covered under the defined contribution MEP; and
- 4. The organization ensures that participation in the MEP is available only to employees and former employees of the organization and client employers, and their beneficiaries.

25

Substantial employment functions



- · Facts and circumstances • Safe harbor: 4 requirements
 - The PEO assumes responsibility for the payment of wages to employees of its client-employers that adopt the plan without regard to client reimbursement;
 The PEO assumes reporting, withholding, and paying any applicable federal employment taxes for its client employers that adopt the plan without regard to client reimbursement;
 The PEO must play "a definite and contractually specified role in recruiting, hiring, and firing workers of its client employers that adopt the MEP."

 - This can be exercised in tandem with the client employer.
 - It is sufficient if the PEO simply retains the right to recruit, hire and fire workers of its client employers.
 - The PEO must assume responsibility for and has substantial control over the functions and activities of any employee benefits which the service contract may require the PEO to provide.



Proposed regs for DC plans **Taxpayers CANNOT rely!**



- MEP can be preserved if MEP administrator follows new regs (once finalized)
 Escalating series of notices to unresponsive employer
 - One of three end results
 - · Unresponsive employer responds
 - Provides information
 Makes contribution
 - Takes other corrective action

 - Unresponsive employer requests spin-off to single employer plan
 MEP administrator spins off assets of unresponsive employer to separate plan, terminates it, and distributes
- · Requires plan amendment to implement rules Requires specific plan practices and procedures
- 28

A MEP Administrator's Tale; Act I



29

28

- · Cast of Characters:
 - MEP Administrator: Diligent Plans
 - Unresponsive Employer: Deadbeat Duds (clothing store owned by Dudley Deadbeat)
 - Plan: 3% Safe Harbor 401(k) MEP, calendar year
 - Known Qualification Failure: Deadbeat Duds failed to contribute \$7,500 SH contribution for 2022 by December 31, 2023

29



1

• January 2, 2024, Diligent sends Deadbeat a notice: • "You are currently in default of your obligation to make a \$7,500 employer contribution to the plan. We ask that, within 90 days, you either: (1) make the required contribution, plus earnings (contact us for an exact number); or (2) tell us to spin off the assets relating to your employees into a single employer plan you establish and maintain. If you take the second option, the law will still require you to make the delinquent contribution to that plan." • April 1, 2024: 90 days passes with no reply




Diligent must send a second notice within 30 days after expiration of the first notice
April 8, 2024: Diligent sends Deadbeat a notice

All the information in the first notice, plus

- "If you do not take action with 90 days, the third (and final) notice will be provided to the Deadbeat Duds participating employees
- and to the Department of Labor • July 7, 2024: 90 days of radio silence

31





Consequences of spinoff



34

- Defect follows spinoff to new plan
- · MEP administrator must notify IRS of spinoff
- · If employer requests spinoff must cure defects or plan subject to disqualification
- If MEP administrator spins off plan, same administrator, trustee, and terms of old plan
 - Rank-and-file participants treat as qualified plan
 - · Can roll distributions over
 - IRS reserves right to go after Dudley Deadbeat Deny rollover treatment

34



- Diligent learns Sly may be in ASG with Sneaky Surgery Center (SSC)
- Diligent asks Sly for SSC census so Diligent can determine if there is a coverage failure
- Sly doesn't reply
- Possible qualification failure: We don't know that there's a problem

35


Results




- Same procedure: 3 notices
 - Demand information or spinoff
- Suppose Sly provides data after second notice - Coverage failure exists
- · Diligent informs Sly of possible actions to address cover failure; no reply
- Now it's known qualification failure
- Start over with first notice







Result: Incomplete return subject to penalties







2. Pooled Employer Plan
 Spin off assets related to bad apple



Pooled Employer Plans (PEPs)

Pooled Employer Plans ("PEPs")

- Allows for Open MEPs with no Commonality if certain requirements are met
 PEP Benefits
- Single Plan DocumentSingle Form 5500 Filing
- Single Form 5500
 Single Plan Audit
- Significant compliance requirements
- Under SECURE, effective for plan years beginning after 12/31/20. Under RESA 2019, effective for plan years beginning after 12/31/22.

43

Pooled Employer Plans (PEPs)



- Single 401(a) individual account plan with a tax-exempt trust or a plan of 408 individual retirement accounts;
- · That provides benefits to employees of 2 or more employers;
- The PEP plan document must designate a "pooled plan provider" ("PPP");
- PPP is a named fiduciary under ERISA and acts as the 3(16) plan administrator; and
- Selection (and monitoring) of the PPP is a fiduciary plan sponsor responsibility.

44

Pooled Employer Plans (PEPs)

- One or more named trustees who are responsible for:
 - Collecting contributions; and
 - Holding plan assets.
- In collecting contributions, the trustee must follow written procedures that are "reasonable, diligent, and systematic."
- Trustee: Must be a bank or other institution that satisfies 408(a)(2) and could qualify as an IRA custodian.

Pooled Employer Plans (PEPs)



• PPP must make required disclosures to participating employers.

• Employers must provide the PPP with information necessary to administer the plan and to meet 401(a) or 408 qualification requirements.

- Disclosures and information *may* be provided in electronic form.
- PEPs prohibited from imposing unreasonable restrictions, fees, or penalties for withdrawal or otherwise distributing or transferring assets from the plan.

46

Participating Employer Responsibility



Joining a PEP = ERISA fiduciary responsibility

Employers retain fiduciary responsibility for: Selection and monitoring of PPP and other named fiduciaries Investment and management of assets attributable to employees of that employee

47

Pooled Plan Provider (PPP)



- PPP performs all administrative duties of the plan including:
- "reasonably necessary" testing to ensure the PEP meets either 401(a) or 408 individual retirement account qualification requirements whichever is applicable
- Ensuring that the participating employer provides necessary information for compliance


 Pooled Plan
 Written acknowledgement of named fiduciary and 3(16) plan administrator status


Ensure proper bonding (cap = \$1M)

DOL and IRS may audit, examine, and investigate PPPs as they wish

49

(PPP)






Reporting Requirements

• PEP annual reports to include:

- List of participating employers
- Good faith estimate of % of total contributions attributable to each participating employer
- Identity of the PPP
- DOL may extend small plan audit rules to PEPs with fewer than 1,000 participants (if no employer has more than 100 participants)

52



Thank you!

- S. Derrin Watson
- Attorney at law
- 5631 Kent Place
- Goleta, CA 93117
- sderrin@gmail.com



- Derrin's books available at
- www.ERISApedia.com:
 - Who's the Employer
 - 403(b) Plan eSource – 457 Plan eSource

CE Credit



• ERPA/ASPPA/NIPA

- Those that attended the requisite time in the live online meeting today will receive certificate by email in several days (ERPA will take longer)
 Please check spam folder
- Any questions, email: <u>support@erisapedia.com</u>
- Look for webpage after this webcast ends to provide feedback – not essential but certainly appreciated!

